

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/598,005	Applicant(s) VON BERG, JENS	
	Examiner THOMAS CONWAY	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) THOMAS CONWAY.

(2) Anthony Del Zoppo.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2011

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Rejection of claim 1 as currently rejected and claim 6 under 35 USC 112

Claims discussed:
1, 6, 9

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: allowed with examiner's amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1PM EST

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas A. Conway/
Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amending claims to correct for possible rejection under 35 USC 112 (claim 6) and suggested incorporating limitations as recited in claim 9 into claim 1 in order to put the application in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed and forwarded proposed amendments electronically which the Examiner approved and implemented via Examiner's amendment..